TABLE 5-1. State Aid to Municipalities and School Districts, 1996–97

Percent

State	State aid share of municipal general	State aid share of school district general	á	State aid share of municipal general	State aid share of school district general
Alabama	expenditures	expenditures 61	State	expenditures	expenditures
	a sydnau 6 sees	Special Control of the Control of th	Nebraska	13	32
Alaska	17	66	Nevada	28	32
Arizona	26	44	New Hampshire	12	$\mathbf{Z}_{-1}$
Arkansas	12	60	New Jersey	30	39
California	14	56	New Mexico	27	74
Colorado	13	44	New York	27	40
Connecticut	20		North Carolina	15	65
Delaware	8	67	North Dakota	13	42
Florida	9		Ohio	13	41
Georgia	3	52	Oklahoma	4	59
Hawaii	8	90	Oregon -	19 T. H	54
Idaho	16	64	Pennsylvania	20	40
Illinois	29	27	Rhode Island	10.	41
Indiana	19	54	South Carolina	9	53
lowa	12	49	South Dakota	6	30
Kansas	10	57	Tennessee	13	48
Kentucky	8	65	Texas	4	43
Louisiana	9	50	Utah	4	59
Maine	14	47	Vermont	6	28
Maryland	31	38	Virginia	20	31
Massachusetts	33	38	Washington	12	68
Michigan	24	67	West Virginia	2	63
Minnesota	20	58	Wisconsin	30	43
Mississippi	28	58	Wyoming	52	51
Missouri Montana	6	<b>40</b> 49	U.S. average	18	49

Source: Bureau of the Census, 1997 Census of Governments.

TABLE 5-2. Revenue Capacity Equity before and after Aid from State Governments and Tax-Base Sharing

	tate dove	. minenta	allu la	x-pase on	aring		
	_	Gini				Shared pool	
	Tax-	coefficient		<b>.</b> .		as a	Aid as a
	capacity Gini	after tax-base	Percent	Gini coefficient		percentage	percentage
Metropolitan area	coefficient	sharing	change	after aid	Percent change	of tax capacity	of tax capacity
Atlanta	0.17	0.13	-21	0.17	criange 2	10	5 - 5
Boston	0.25	0.20	-20	0.19	-22	10	28
Chicago	0.27	0.22	-20 20	0.19	-z∠ 36	10	20 48
Cincinnati	0.36	0.29	-20 -20	0.35	APPENDED TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY		
Cleveland	0.30	0.20		THE WATER STREET	<del>-</del> 2	10	13
Dallas			-19	0.22	9	10	14
SPECIFIC VETO POSTERVIS PROGRAMMA AND ADDRESS OF THE PROGRAMMA AND ADDRESS	0.19	0.15	-21	n.a.	n.a.	10	n.a.
Denver	0.21	0.17	-19	0.20	7	10	14
Detroit	0.21	0.17	-21	0.24	11	10	41
Houston	0.15	0.12	-22	n.a.	n,a,	10	n.a.
Kansas City	0.25	0.20	-21	0.22	-11	10	14
Los Angeles	0.22	0.18	-19	0.15	. ⊨33	10	53
Miami	0.21	0.17	-1.8	0.17	-18	10	30
Milwaukee	0.27	0.22	-18 .	0.10	<b>≔63</b>	10	51
Minneapolis-St. Paul	0.17	n.a.	n.a.	0.17	—3	n.a.	77
New York	0,23	0.18	-22	0.18	-22	10	. 26
Philadelphia	0.33	0.28	-16	0.26	<b>–21</b>	10	26
Phoënix	0.15	0.12	-21	0.09	-41	10	61
Pittsburgh	0.26	0.21	–19	0.25	-4	10	35
Portland	0.15	0.12	-18	0.13	-12	- 10	12
San Diego	0.11	0.08	-20	0.08	-20	10	49
San Francisco	0.17	0.14	-20	0.13	-27	10	41
Seattle	0.21	0.17	<b>–21</b>	0.20	-7	10	16
St. Louis	0.37	0.29	-20	0.24	=36	1.0	39
Tampa	0.13	0.11	–19	0.12	–14	10	47
Washington, D.C.	0.22	0.18	-21	0.17	-24	10	18
THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH	ALTOLOGI STELLER STELLE	er en leek kommunike ge					
24 Metro area average	0.22	0.178	20	0.182	18	10	32
					-10	ΙΨ	

Sources: Various state and local government agencies.

n.a. = Not applicable.